2300 N STREET, NW
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20037
TEL 202.783.4141
FAX 202.783.5851
www.wbklaw.com
PAUL J. SINDERBRAND
psinderbrand@wbklaw.com

June 3, 2004

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Amendment of Parts 1, 21, 73, 74 and 101 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate the Provision of Fixed and Mobile Broadband Access, Educational and other Advanced Services in the 2150-2162 and 2500-2690 MHz Bands – WT Docket No. 03-66

Review of the Spectrum Sharing Plan Among Non-Geostationary Satellite Orbit Mobile Satellite Service Systems in the 1.6/2.4 GHz Bands – IB Docket No. 02-364

NOTICE OF EX PARTE PRESENTATION

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Yesterday, Todd Rowley and Luisa L. Lancetti of Sprint Corp., along with the undersigned, met on behalf of Sprint with Sheryl Wilkerson, Legal Advisor to Chairman Michael K. Powell, to discuss issues before the Commission in the referenced proceedings.

Sprint expressed strong opposition to the adoption of any bandplan in WT Docket No. 03-66 that would create new Multipoint Distribution Service ("MDS") channels to be auctioned by stripping spectrum from existing licensees, many of whom purchased that spectrum at auction. Sprint also noted that a reduction in the bandwidth of channels would have an adverse impact operational impact, particularly in situations where non-synchronized technologies are utilized on adjacent channel groups.

Sprint also expressed its willingness to explore possible bandplans that would incorporate MDS channels 1 and 2, provided that the 2494-2500 MHz band were reallocated and the Commission's technical and operational rules allowed those channels to be used in a full and effective manner. Sprint emphasized that if the bandplan were to be extended to accommodate MDS relocation, the Commission must provide for the same 2 MHz separation between the Mobile Satellite Service ("MSS") Ancillary Terrestrial Component ("ATC") that currently

WILKINSON) BARKER KNAUER LLP

Marlene H. Dortch June 3, 2004 Page 2

exists, must continue to require that ATC operations meet the requirements imposed under Section 25.255 of the Rules, and must impose on the closest MDS/ITFS licensee no restrictions relative to MSS/ATC more restrictive than those under the current rules. Sprint also discussed its support for allowing ITFS licensees to assign their licenses to commercial entities.

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(2), this notice is being filed electronically with the Office of the Secretary for inclusion in the public record of the above-reference proceedings. Should you have any questions regarding this summary, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Paul J. Sinderbrand

Paul J. Sinderbrand

cc: Sheryl Wilkerson